Computational Learning Theory Evolvability

Dimitris Diochnos School of Computer Science University of Oklahoma





Overview of Evolvability and the Swapping Algorithm

2 Remarks and Some Related Results



Table of Contents



Overview of Evolvability and the Swapping Algorithm

Remarks and Some Related Results

3 Monotone Conjunctions under the Uniform Distribution

- Preliminaries
- Properties of the Local Search
- Examples

Evolvability





Evolvability [Valiant, 2009] was based on Darwin's work *On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection* [Darwin, 1859].

Evolvability

Key Points

- Species (Hypotheses), Generations (Iterations).
- A fitness function called performance.
 - Estimated through sampling.
- Mutations define the Neighborhood.
- Tolerance t partitions the Neighborhood:
 - Bene = { $h' | \operatorname{Perf}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(h', c) > \operatorname{Perf}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(h, c) + t$ }.
 - Neut = { $h' | \operatorname{Perf}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(h', c) \ge \operatorname{Perf}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(h, c) t$ } \ Bene.
 - Deleterious, the rest.

Goal

$$\Pr\left(\operatorname{Perf}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(h,c) < \operatorname{Perf}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(c,c) - \varepsilon\right) < \delta. \tag{1}$$

Evolution should proceed from any starting point!

44













Performance

$$\operatorname{Perf}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(h, c) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_n} h(x) c(x) \mathcal{D}_n(x)$$
$$= 1 - 2 \cdot \operatorname{Pr}(h(x) \neq c(x))$$
$$= \mathbf{E}[h \cdot c] .$$

• Estimated through sampling,

$$\operatorname{Perf}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(h, c, S) = \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{x \in S} h(x) \cdot c(x).$$

Table of Contents

Overview of Evolvability and the Swapping Algorithm

Remarks and Some Related Results

Monotone Conjunctions under the Uniform Distribution

- Preliminaries
- Properties of the Local Search
- Examples

Preliminary Remarks

Remark 1 (vs. PAC)

Evolvability is a restricted case of PAC learnability.

Goal 1 (Evolvability)

$$\Pr\left(\operatorname{Perf}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(h,c) < \operatorname{Perf}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(c,c) - \varepsilon\right) < \delta.$$

Goal 2 (PAC Learning)

$\Pr(error_{\mathcal{D}_n}(h,c) > \varepsilon) < \delta$.

Preliminary Remarks

Remark 2 (on the Updates)

Updates depend only on the positivity and negativity of the examples or experiences, in the sense that there is no dependence on the description of the examples (as is the case in the Statistical Query model); e.g., # of 1's in binary representation.

Remark 3 (vs. SQ model, Valiant, 2009)

Evolvable function classes \subset *SQ learnable function classes.*

Preliminary Remarks

Description 1 (The Tool on the SQ Model is a Query)

- Let $\psi : \{0,1\}^n \times \{-1,1\} \mapsto \{-1,1\}$.
- A query is a pair (ψ, τ) .
- Estimate $\mathbf{E}[\psi(\mathbf{x}, \ell)]$ within tolerance τ .

Description 2 (Types of Queries)

- independent of the target (i.e. ψ depends only on x)
- correlational if $\psi(x, \ell) \equiv g(x)c(x)$.

Proposition 1

Any statistical query can be substituted by two statistical queries that are independent of the target and two correlational queries.

D. Diochnos (OU - CS)

A Simulation Result

Remark 4 (*CSQ* Learnability \Rightarrow Evolvability; Feldman 2008)

Let C be a concept class CSQ learnable over a class of distributions D by a polynomial time algorithm A. Then, there exists an evolutionary algorithm N(A) such that C is evolvable by N(A) over D.

Related Results in Evolvability

Feldman

- $CSQ \rightarrow Evolvability algorithm [Feldman, 2008].$
 - Full conjunctions are evolvable [Feldman, 2009].
 - Using *Boolean loss* monotone conjunctions are *not* evolvable distribution-independently [Feldman, 2011].
 - Using *quadratic loss* monotone conjunctions *are* evolvable distribution-independently [Feldman, 2012].

D, Turán / D

- Swapping algorithm under U_n [DT, 2009].
 - Swapping algorithm under any \mathcal{B}_n [D, 2016].
 - (1+1) EA under some \mathcal{B}_n [D, 2021].

Kanade, Valiant, Vaughan • Evolvability with drifting targets [KVV, 2010].

Kanade • Recombination, parallel CSQ learning and general conjunctions [Kanade, 2011].

More Results

• Michael [Michael, 2009], P Valiant [PValiant, 2012], Angelino and Kanade [AK, 2014].

D. Diochnos (OU - CS)

Computational Learning Theory

Basic Notation

Representation

- Hypotheses are conjunctions of boolean variables; e.g., $h_1 = x_1 \wedge x_5 \wedge x_8$.
- Size / length: # vars in the conjunction; e.g., $|h_1| = 3$.
- Represented as a set of indices; e.g., $h_1 = \{1, 5, 8\}$.
- Also useful: represented by a bitstring; e.g., $h_1 = 10001001$.
- Hamming distance $d(h_1, h_2)$: # positions where the bitstrings representing h_1 and h_2 differ.

Hypothesis Space

 $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{C}_n^{\leq q}$. Hypotheses such that $0 \leq |h| \leq q$. (\leftarrow non-realizable) $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C}_n^{\leq q} \cup \mathcal{C}_n^{>q}$. Hypotheses such that $0 \leq |h| \leq n$.

Concept Class and Hypothesis Space



Monotone Conjunctions under the Uniform Distribution are Evolvable

properties	[Valiant, 2007]	[D & Turán, 2009]	[D, 2016]	
	$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{C}_n$	$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{C}_n$	$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{C}_n^{\leq q}$	
q	$\mathcal{O}\left(\lg(n/\varepsilon) ight)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(lg(1/arepsilon) ight)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\lg(1/\varepsilon) \right)$	
generations	$\mathcal{O}\left(n\lg(n/\varepsilon)\right)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(n\lg(1/arepsilon) ight)$	2q	
sample size	$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left((n/arepsilon)^6 ight)$	$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(n^2/arepsilon^2+n/arepsilon^4 ight)$	$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(\textit{n}/arepsilon^{4} ight)$	

Theorem 1 (D & Turán, 2009)

Set $q = \lceil \lg(3/\varepsilon) \rceil$. For every target conjunction c and every initial hypothesis h_0 it holds that after $\mathcal{O}(q + |h_0| \ln \frac{1}{\delta})$ iterations, each iteration evaluating the performance of $\mathcal{O}(nq)$ hypotheses, and each performance being evaluated using sample size $\mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^4 \left(\ln n + \ln \frac{1}{\delta} + \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$ per iteration, the goal is achieved.

Table of Contents



Remarks and Some Related Results

Monotone Conjunctions under the Uniform Distribution

- Preliminaries
- Properties of the Local Search
- Examples

Correlation under the Uniform Distribution



Perf<sub>*U_n*(*h*, *c*) =
$$1 - 2^{1-(m+u)} - 2^{1-(m+r)} + 2^{2-(m+r+u)}$$

= $1 - 2^{1-|c|} - 2^{1-|h|} + 2^{2-|h|-u}$</sub>

(2)

Strategy

$$h = \bigwedge_{i \in \mathfrak{M}} x_i \wedge \bigwedge_{\ell \in \mathfrak{R}} x_\ell$$
 and $c = \bigwedge_{i \in \mathfrak{M}} x_i \wedge \bigwedge_{k \in \mathfrak{U}} x_k$

- Short target \Rightarrow Find target precisely (w.h.p.)
- Long target ⇒ Find some good approximation (w.h.p.)

Lemma 2 (Performance Lower Bound)

If $|h| \ge q$ and $|c| \ge q + 1$ then $Perf_{\mathcal{U}_n}(h, c) > 1 - 3 \cdot 2^{-q}$.

Corollary 3

Let
$$q \geq \lg(3/\varepsilon)$$
, $|h| \geq q$, $|c| \geq q + 1 \Longrightarrow Perf_{\mathcal{U}_n}(h, c) > 1 - \varepsilon$.

Guiding the Search



$$\Delta = \mathsf{Perf}_{\mathcal{U}_n}\left(h',c\right) - \mathsf{Perf}_{\mathcal{U}_n}\left(h,c\right)$$

Theorem 4 (Structure of Best Approximations)

The best q-approximation of a target c is

• c itself if $|c| \leq q$

• any hypothesis formed by q good variables if |c| > q.

Example 1: Short Initial Hypothesis and Short Target



Let $\mathcal{X}_8 = \{0, 1\}^8$ such that $\{g_1, g_2, g_3, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5\}$, the target be $c = g_1 \land g_2 \land g_3$, and require $\varepsilon = 1/5$. (q = 4)

Step i	и	Hypothesis h _i	Performance	Neighborhood	Class
0	\	Ø	-3/4	N ⁺	
1		<i>b</i> ₁	0	$N^+ \cup \{ swaps: b \to g \}$	
2		$b_1 \wedge b_2$	3/8	$N^+ \cup \{ swaps: b \to g \}$	
3	<i>2</i> 2	$b_1 \wedge b_2 \wedge b_3$	9/16	$N^+ \cup \{ swaps: b \to g \}$	Pono
4		$b_1 \wedge b_2 \wedge b_3 \wedge b_4$	21/32	$\{\text{swaps: } b \to g\}$	bene
5		$b_1 \wedge g_3 \wedge b_3 \wedge b_4$	22/32	$\{\text{swaps: } b \to g\}$	
6	1	$g_1 \wedge g_3 \wedge b_3 \wedge b_4$	24/32	${swaps: b \rightarrow g}$	
7	0	$g_1 \wedge g_3 \wedge g_2 \wedge b_4$	28/32	{remove b }	
8	0	$g_1 \wedge g_3 \wedge g_2$	1	${h_8}$	Neut

Example 2: Short Initial Hypothesis and Long Target

Let $\mathcal{X}_{13} = \{0, 1\}^{13}$ such that $\{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5, g_6, g_7, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6\}$, the target be $c = g_1 \land g_2 \land g_3 \land g_4 \land g_5 \land g_6 \land g_7$, and require $\varepsilon = 1/5$. (q = 4)

Step i	u	Hypothesis <i>h</i> i	Performance	Neighborhood	Class	
0	≥ 2	Ø	-63/64	N ⁺	Pana	
1		<i>b</i> ₁	0	$N^+ \cup \{ swaps: b \to g \}$		
2		<i>2</i> 2	$b_1 \wedge b_2$	⁶³ /128	$N^+ \cup \{\text{swaps: } b \to g\}$	bene
3		$b_1 \wedge b_2 \wedge b_3$	189/256	$N^+ \cup \{\text{swaps: } b \to g\}$		
4	≥ 2	$b_1 \wedge b_2 \wedge b_3 \wedge b_4$	425/512	$all swaps \} \cup \{h_4\}$		
5		$b_1 \wedge \mathbf{b_6} \wedge b_3 \wedge b_4$	425/512	${all swaps} \cup {h_5}$	Nout	
6		$b_1 \wedge b_6 \wedge b_3 \wedge \mathbf{b_5}$	425/512	${all swaps} \cup {h_6}$	Neut	
7		$b_1 \wedge b_6 \wedge b_3 \wedge b_5$	425/512	$\{$ all swaps $\} \cup \{h_7\}$		
8	≥ 2	$g_1 \wedge b_6 \wedge b_3 \wedge b_5$	426/512	${swaps: b \to g}$		
9		$g_1 \wedge b_6 \wedge b_3 \wedge g_4$	428/512	${swaps: b \to g}$	Bene	
10		$g_1 \wedge b_6 \wedge g_6 \wedge g_4$	432/512	${swaps: b \to g}$		
11	≥ 2	$g_1 \wedge g_3 \wedge g_6 \wedge g_4$	440/512	$\{\text{swaps: } g \to g\} \cup \{h_{11}\}$		
12		$g_1 \wedge g_3 \wedge g_5 \wedge g_4$	440/512	$\{\text{swaps: } g \to g\} \cup \{h_{12}\}$	Nout	
13		$g_1 \wedge g_3 \wedge g_5 \wedge g_4$	440/512	$\{\text{swaps: } g \to g\} \cup \{h_{13}\}$	incut	
14		$\mathbf{g}_2 \wedge \mathbf{g}_3 \wedge \mathbf{g}_5 \wedge \mathbf{g}_4$	440/512	$\{\text{swaps: } g \to g\} \cup \{h_{14}\}$		

Examples

References I